



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/690,007	10/17/2000	Harry W. Morris	06975-058001 / Ad Serving	1832
26171	7590	11/08/2007	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			TIV, BACKHEAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/08/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/690,007

Applicant(s)

MORRIS ET AL.

Examiner

Backhean Tiv

Art Unit

2151

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28, 55-57 and 67-74 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29-54-58-63 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28, 55-57 and 67-74 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 2151

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-28, 55-57 and 64-74 are pending in this application. Claims 29-54 and 58-63 remains cancelled. This is a response to the Amendment/Remarks filed on 8/23/07.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 15-28, 67-69, 73, 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims fail to place the invention squarely within one statutory class of invention. Claim 15, recites, "computer-readable medium or propagated signal". As such, the claim is drawn to a form of energy. Energy is not one of the four categories of invention and therefore this claim(s) is/are not statutory. Energy is not a series of steps or acts and thus is not a process. Energy is not a physical article or object and as such is not a machine or manufacture. Energy is not a combination of substances and therefor not a composition of matter.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-28, 55-57 and 64-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 6,108,637 issued to Blumenau in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,119,098 issued to Guyot et al. (Guyot), and further in view of US Patent 6,128,651 issued to Cezar.

As per claim 1,55, Blumenau disclosed a method of presenting advertising to viewers in a computer network environment, the method comprising: monitoring a viewer's interactions with an associated computer system; and determining an amount of time to be used in later displaying advertisements on the viewer's associated computer system based on the viewer's monitored interactions (Title, Abstract, column 7 lines 58-65, column 13 lines 51-58, column 14 lines 7-19).

Blumenau taught the invention substantially as claimed, however, Blumenau did not expressly teach a method based on the determined amount of time, varying an amount of display time for which a later displayed advertisement is to be displayed on the viewer's associated computer system and storing click-through information for the advertisements; and sending the click-through information to a host computer.

Blumenau suggested exploration of art and/or provided a reason to modify the method of presenting advertisement to include additional features such as varying an amount of display time for which a later displayed advertisement is to be displayed on the viewer's associated computer system based upon review and analysis of monitoring information (column 18 lines 38-56, column 19 lines 2-11, column 20 lines 23-36).

Guyot discloses a method for targeting and distributing advertisement having steps of downloading advertisements and set of tuning parameters to a user's

Art Unit: 2151

computer, wherein the set of parameters are configured to cause a display of a first advertisement on the user's computer (abstract), monitoring the viewer's interaction on the viewer's associated computer and based on the determined interaction information, schedule the display timing of advertisements on the viewer's computer for the later advertisement to be displayed (column 2 lines 9-20, column 5 lines 6-18) and storing click-through information for the advertisements (Guyot, column 3 lines 55-65, column 4 lines 16-23); and sending the click-through information to a host computer (Guyot, column 4 lines 16-23, column 6 lines 51-63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the advertisement method of Blumenau with the teachings of Guyot to include a step of adjusting timing in order to effectively present the advertisement to users (column 7 lines 19-47) since when the user is performing other activities on the computer, the probability of viewing an advertisement is relatively low (Guyot, column 1 lines 34-43).

One of ordinary skilled in the art would have been motivated because to effectively present the advertisement to users (Guyot, column 7 lines 19-47)

However, the combination of Blumenau and Guyot does not expressly teach the process of varying an amount of display time (duration or length of advertisement display time).

Cezar expressly teaches the use of precise timed advertisement and further teaches individual timers for each advertisement (col.2, lines 20-59, col.3, lines 25-36, 45-47, col.4, lines 45-52).

Art Unit: 2151

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the advertisement method of combined method of Blumenau and Guyot with the teachings of Cezar in order to include a step of varying the length/duration of the later advertisement based on viewer's interaction in order to effectively present the advertisement to viewers (Blumenau, column 7 lines 19-47).

One of ordinary skilled in the art would have been motivated because it would have enable a system for precise controlled advertising to a web page(Cezar, col.2, lines 20-33).

As per claim 2, the combination of Blumenau and Guyot discloses the process sof adjusting an ad expiration tuning parameter configured to-set the quantity of time for which an advertisement is available for display (Guyot, column 2 lines 9-13, column 4 lines 34-43, column 7 lines 1-6). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 3, the combination of Blumenau and Guyot discloses the process of adjusting a maximum display count configured to set a maximum number of times to display an advertisement to a user viewing a batch of ads (Guyot, column 2 lines 9-13, column 4 lines 34-43, column 7 lines 1-6). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 4, the combination of Blumenau and Guyot discloses the process of varying the amount of display time for which the later displayed advertisement is displayed comprises adjusting a minimum display time configured to set a minimum amount of time to display the later displayed advertisement before another advertisement is displayed (Guyot, column 2 lines 9-13, column 4 lines 34-67; Cezar,

Art Unit: 2151

col.2, lines 20-59, col.3, lines 25-36, 45-47, col.4, lines 45-52). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 5, the combination of Blumenau and Guyot discloses the process of adjusting an idle delay configured to cause a delay from the time a user has gone idle before a first advertisement is replaced with another advertisement (Guyot, column 5 lines 6-17, column 7 lines 49-56). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 6, the combination of Blumenau and Guyot discloses the process of adjusting an active delay configured to cause a delay from the time a user goes active before displaying another advertisement (Guyot, column 5 lines 6- 17, column 7 lines 49-56). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 7, the combination of Blumenau and Guyot discloses the process of comprising adjusting an idle (no spin) parameter configured to stop the display of a first advertisement from being replaced with the display of another advertisement after a user goes idle (Guyot, column 5 lines 6- 17, column 7 lines 49-67). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 8, the combination of Blumenau and Guyot discloses the process wherein monitoring a viewer's interactions with an associated computer system comprises monitoring a use of a computer mouse (Guyot, Abstract, column 2 lines 9-21, column 5 lines 6-18). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 9, the combination of Blumenau and Guyot discloses the process wherein monitoring a viewer's interactions with an associated computer system

Art Unit: 2151

comprises monitoring a use of a computer keyboard (Guyot, Abstract, column 2 lines 9-21, column 5 lines 6-18). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 10, the combination of Blumenau and Guyot discloses the process wherein monitoring a viewer's interactions with an associated computer system comprises monitoring the activity of any input devices connected to the subscriber system [an auditory signal such as the viewer's voice provided through a microphone] (Guyot, column 7 lines 63-67, column 8 lines 1-1-4). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 11, the combination of Blumenau and Guyot discloses the process wherein the auditory signal is the viewer's voice (Guyot, column 7 lines 63-67, column 8 lines 1-1-4). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 12, the combination of Blumenau and Guyot discloses the process wherein monitoring a viewer's interactions with an associated computer system comprises monitoring a maximization and a minimization status of a screen displaying advertising (Guyot, column 2 lines 19-13, column 5 lines 6-11, lines 45-61). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 13, Blumenau discloses the process wherein monitoring a viewers interactions with an associated computer system comprises monitoring a viewers use of a device that sends an input, or causes an input to be sent, to the associated computer system (Blumenau, column 17 lines 24-35).

As per claim 14, the combination of Blumenau and Guyot discloses the process wherein the timing of displayed advertisements on a screen displaying advertising is



Art Unit: 2151

configured to not switch between advertisements if the screen displaying advertisements is minimized or occluded (Guyot, column 5 lines 6-11, lines 45-61, column 12 lines 46-56). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 56, Blumenau discloses the process further comprising varying the tuning parameters downloaded to the users computer; and utilizing a correlation technique to determine a correlation between the tuning parameters downloaded to the user's computer and the click-through rate of the user (Blumenau, column 14 lines 7-19, column 16 lines 13-38, column 17 lines 24-35, column 18 lines 38-56).

As per claim 57, Blumenau discloses the process further comprising setting another set of tuning parameters based on the correlation between the tuning parameters and the user's click-through rate (Blumenau, column 16 lines 13-38, column 17 lines 24-35, column 18 lines 38-56).

As per claim 64, Blumenau discloses the process wherein monitoring wherein monitoring the viewer's interactions with the associated computer system includes continually monitoring, during operation of the associated computer system, the viewer's interactions with the associated computer program (Blumenau, column 10 line 65-column 14, column 11 lines 18-29, column 16 lines 13-24).

As per claim 65, Blumenau discloses the process wherein monitoring the viewer's interactions with the associated computer system includes monitoring the viewer's interactions with the associated computer system that are unrelated to a manual adjustment of the timing of the displayed advertisements (Blumenau, column 17 lines 24-35, column 18 lines 38-49, column 20 lines 23-36).

As per claim 66, the combination of Blumenau and Guyot discloses the process wherein adjusting the timing of the later displayed advertisements includes varying lengths of time during which the advertisements are displayed on an advertisement-by-advertisements basis (Guyot, Title, column 2 lines 9-20, column 5 lines 6-18). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 70, the combination of Blumenau and Guyot discloses the process wherein the tuning parameters are configured to vary lengths of time during which the advertisements are displayed on an advertisement-by-advertisement basis (Guyot, Title, column 2 lines 9-20, column 5 lines 6-18, Cezar, (fig. 5, fig. 6, col. 2 L14-41, col. 7 L15-51, col. 9 L7-67). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 71,73, the combination of Blumenau, Guyot and Cezar discloses the process wherein monitoring a viewer's interactions comprises monitoring a viewer's interactions other than interactions indicating an amount of display time for which a later displayed advertisement is to be displayed on the viewer's associated computer system (Cezar, col.2, lines 20-59, col.3, lines 25-36,45-47, col.4, lines 45-52). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 72, 74, the combination of Blumenau, Guyot and Cezar discloses monitoring a viewer's interactions comprises monitoring a viewer's interactions with an application operating on the viewer's associated computer system, the application being other than an application for indicating an amount of display time for which a later displayed advertisement is to be displayed on the viewer's associated computer system

Art Unit: 2151

(Cezar, col.2, lines 20-59, col.3, lines 25-36, 45-47, col.4, lines 45-52). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claims 15-28 and 67-69, the computer program stored on a computer-readable medium corresponds directly to the method of claim 1-14 and 64-66, and thus these claims are rejected using the same rationale. Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments, see page 1-5 of Remarks, filed 8/23/07, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-28, 55-57 and 64-74 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

### ***Conclusion***

**Examiner's Note:** Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in its entirety as potentially teaching of all or part of the claimed invention.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Art Unit: 2151

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Backhean Tiv whose telephone number is (571) 272-5654. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



BackheanTiv  
2151  
10/31/07



JOHN FOLLANSBEE  
SUPERVISOR  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100